

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22716 of 2017

Date of Decision:06.10.2017

Santosh Kumari and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Petitioners have questioned the validity of show cause notices dated 25.5.2017 (Annexures P-7 to P-9). Perusal of the same, it is evident that it is only a show cause notices in respect of certain service conditions relating to pay fixation of the petitioners for which the petitioners are stated to have submitted their replies on 31.5.2017. Before considering the petitioners' replies, respondents are stated to have altered the petitioners' pay which is disadvantage to the petitioners. Therefore, firstly the writ petition is premature and secondly, respondents have not passed any order after receipt of the petitioners' replies to the show cause notices. Service of notice to respondents may not necessary since no adverse order would be passed against them.

Accordingly, concerned respondent is hereby directed to pass a speaking order while considering the petitioners' replies to the show cause notices. Such exercise shall be completed within a period of four months from today.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

06.10.2017

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

(P.B. Bajanthri)

Judge