

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.26275 of 2016.

Date of Decision: January 16, 2017

Sudarshan Kumar Goyal

.....Petitioner

versus

The Chief Administrator, Punjab Urban Planning and Development
Authority and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

Present: Mr.Navjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is allottee-cum-owner of Shop-cum-flat No.3 in Commercial Pocket Scheme, Urban Estate, Phase-2, Patiala. He alleges that no parking space has been provided by the respondent-authorities for the commercial market in which his SCF is located. The petitioner alleges discrimination in this regard as according to him, similarly developed market places have been provided adequate parking spaces.

One of the prayer in this writ petition is that the petitioner's legal notice dated 29.09.2016 (P-9) may be directed to be considered and decided by the respondent-authorities.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought in this writ petition, however without expressing any views on merits of the claim, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to verify and decide the claim said to have been

raised by the petitioner through the legal notice, by passing a speaking order

within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

January 16, 2017
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No