

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26145 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.1.2017

Kamal Nain Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

--

--

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner claims that at the time of his retirement on 31.10.2007, his total service comes to 36 years, but he was given the benefit of only 25 years' service. The petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 12.11.2016 (Annexure-P-1) upon respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer made at this stage is that respondents may be directed to decide the aforesaid legal notice.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3- General Manager, Punjab Roadways, Ropar, to consider the legal notice dated 12.11.2016 (Annexure-P-1) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.1.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No