

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22555 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.10.2017

Prince Kamboj

--Petitioner

Versus

State Council of Education Research
and Training & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. R.S. Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Petitioner seeks the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus for directing the first respondent-State Council of Education Research & Training, Punjab to revise his result in relation to the P.S.T.E.T-2016 Examination. Further prayer is for the application of the petitioner for the post of Science Master in relation to advertisement dated 10.9.2017 to be accepted on a provisional basis the last date being 6.10.2017.

Petitioner belongs to the B.C category. It has been averred that the petitioner had appeared in the P.S.T.E.T Examination conducted on 25.9.2016 and in the result declared has been shown to have secured 81 marks.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would vehemently contend that the result of the petitioner has not been correctly compiled, whereas the score should have been 82 marks instead of 81 marks. Further submitted that for the B.C category the bench mark for qualifying the P.S.T.E.T Examination, 2016 was 82 marks out of 150.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is of the considered view that no interference in the matter is warranted.

Such view is being taken for the reason that in the entire petition there is no averment on the basis of which it is sought to be contended that the petitioner should be granted 82 marks instead of 81 marks. There is no reference to any particular question number as also the corresponding correct answer as per answer key. Under such circumstances, it would not be possible for this Court to accept a bald assertion as regards the petitioner having been under assessed and having been granted one mark less in the P.S.T.E.T Examination, 2016.

Even otherwise the result was declared way back on 23.12.2016 and the petitioner has approached this Court by filing the instant petition at the very last minute when the recruitment process was initiated for the Master Cadre on 10.9.2017 and the cut of being 6.10.2017. Counsel would make an attempt to overcome the delay by submitting that the petitioner had filed various representations and to which there had been no response.

Even in such regard on the last date of hearing i.e. 3.10.2017 learned State counsel had been requested to complete instructions. Learned State counsel upon instructions from Ms. Damanjeet Kaur, Assistant, o/o S.C.E.R.T, Punjab, apprises the Court that as per official record the representations referred to in the petition at Annexure P-6 (colly) were never received in the office.

In view of the above, no interference in the matter is warranted.
Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

06.10.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No