

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22525 of 2017

Date of Decision: October 03, 2017

Suman Munjal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In respect of their grievance, the petitioners are stated to have got served a legal notice upon the respondents on 16.09.2017, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-9 with the petition; however, the said legal notice is stated to have not been decided so far.

Consequently, without making any comment on the merit of the controversy expressed in this petition, it is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-9), by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to say, if the case of the petitioners is to be rejected despite judgments cited by the petitioners in their petition, contended to be in their favour, the relevant rules, on the basis of which such rejection is

based, shall be stated in the speaking order to be passed.

October 03, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/no
Whether reportable:	Yes/no