

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No. 26093 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 27, 2017

Jagdish Chander

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has attained the age of superannuation and retired from service while holding the post of Superintendent of Police on 31.05.2016. Under the State Government policy, employee who has attained the age of superannuation and retired from service is entitled to continue for two more years. In this regard, the respondents have taken a decision in respect of the petitioner's case vide Annexure P/35 dated 03.10.2016. Perusal of Annexure P/35 it is evident that entire service record of the petitioner has been taken into consideration for the purpose of not extending service beyond the age of superannuation, namely, w.e.f. 31.05.2016.

2. Thus, there is no infirmity in the order dated 03.10.2016 (Annexure P/35) read with noting.

3. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands dismissed.

April 27, 2017
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No