

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.26089 of 2016

Date of decision: September 26, 2017

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta KKR, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harsimran Singh Sethi, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to order dated 22.03.2016, passed by State Information Commission, Punjab on the ground that the order is non-speaking and cryptic. Besides, petitioner ought to have been afforded an opportunity of hearing. It is, thus, prayed that the matter be remitted to the same authority for decision afresh.

Prayer for remand is not opposed by the State counsel.

In view of above, order passed by the State Information Commission, Punjab is hereby set-aside. Matter is remitted to the same authority for decision afresh after affording opportunity of hearing to the concerned parties.

Allowed in these terms.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

September 26, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No