

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.4362-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 02, 2017

New India Assurance Co. Ltd. ...Petitioner

Versus

Vijender Singh Yadav & another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. R.C. Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Petitioner has impugned the order passed by Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Service), Gurgaon. It has been stated before the court that forum wrongly exercised its jurisdiction in allowing the claim of Rs.45547/- on account of theft of motor cycle.

It appears that Vijender Singh Yadav- respondent No.1 had taken insurance policy from the petitioner company. He lodged a complaint claiming that his vehicle had been stolen. As the insurance company was dilly dallying in accepting his claim, he invoked the jurisdiction of Lok Adalat. Said forum tried for conciliation between the parties. As the efforts proved futile, it computed the compensation of Rs.45547/- and directed the insurance company to pay the same. I do not find any ground to interfere in the writ jurisdiction. Even otherwise, amount involved is very meagre. There is nothing on record to show that insurance company has no liability to indemnify the insured. Petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

August 02, 2017
'Rajpal'

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No