

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

CWP No.22497 of 2017

Date of Decision:03.10.2017

Laxmi Narayan

....petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.J.S.Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, at the outset, that for, the, National Highway Authority of India, is indeed a necessary party to the lis, it ought to have been arrayed as respondent. Therefore, he submits that it be arrayed as such, on his oral request. The prayer is granted. And, National Highway Authority of India, through Project Director, Unit No.28, 3rd floor, Plot No.C-1, Sector 153, Noida, is arrayed as respondent No.3.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Namit Kumar, Advocate, on behalf of respondent No.1, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, on behalf of respondent No.2 and Mr.D.K.Singal, Advocate on behalf of respondent No.3-NHAI, present in the Court, accept notice. Copy furnished.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order/judgement dated 06.09.2017, rendered by this Court in **CWP No.17010 of 2017**, titled “Vinod Kumar vs. The State

of Haryana and others” and other connected matters, therefore, the present petition be disposed of, in terms thereof.

That being so, the petition is disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the case of Vinod Kumar(supra).

October 03, 2017

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No