

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3385 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.1.2017

Sukhjit Kaur

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Y.M. Bhagirath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Additional A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath and Mr. Pranav Grover, Advocates,
for respondent No. 4.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the stand taken by respondents, no recovery of dearness relief has been effected from the petitioner. Infact, some recoveries have been effected, but it pertain to some other matter. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to challenge the said order of recovery.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.1.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No