

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26050 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.03.2017

Parveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Amtiaz Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion was issued in this case on 16.12.2016. The petitioner did not file process fee but respondent-UOI has been permitted to appear, in view of their litigation policies for this Court as informed by Mr. Chetan Mittal, ASGI in the morning session. In the application filed by the Union of India it has been stated that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous in view of office order dated 23.01.2017. The impugned order has been withdrawn and penalty of lesser punishment has been imposed. The petitioner has reported on duty on 17.01.2017 in C.R.P.F. The order has been attached as Annex R.1 with the application.

Accordingly, the petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such. However, if the petitioner is still dis-satisfied with the

order, he may challenge the order in separate proceedings, if advised.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

02.03.2017
neeraj

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>