

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26049-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-12.10.2017.

Sonu Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Chahal, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

CM-14189-CWP-2017

Short reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record. CM stands allowed subject to all just exceptions for the reasons stated in the application as well as affidavit.

With the consent of counsel for the parties, main matter is taken up on Board today itself.

CWP-26049-2016

In view of the later development that the petitioner could not qualified for the purpose of promotion to the post of LDCE as stated in para 4 and 5 of reply, present petition do not survive for consideration. Further the respondents have admitted that the petitioner would be allowed to participate in the process of selection to the post of LDCE during the next

session. In view of these facts and circumstances, petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

October 12, 2017.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.