

**147 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22442 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:29.09.2017

Shamsher Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Haryana & others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred five times within a period of 7 months without any complaint or any administrative exigency. The transfer order is not only contrary to the transfer policy also but mala fide also.

Learned counsel also submits that petitioner is still working at RTA office Kaithal and has not been relieved so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. S. S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. Learned State counsel on instructions from Sh. Rakesh Kumar an official of Transport Commissioner's Office submits that the petitioner has been transferred on 28.09.2017 and he has not challenged that very order. He also submits that petitioner has temporarily been transferred from Kaithal to Kurukshetra. As per case of the petitioner, he has not been relieved from Kaithal. Meaning thereby the petitioner has been transferred from Regional Transport Authority, Kaithal to Regional

Transport Authority, Kurukshetra vide order dated 28.09.2017. A

CWP No.22442 of 2017 :2:

photocopy of order dated 28.09.2017 has also been placed on record by learned State counsel.

Keeping in view the facts as mentioned above, no interference is required. However, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved by the subsequent order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Disposed of.

29.09.2017
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No