

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.6501 of 2017 in/and
CWP No.9711 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.05.2017**

Sandeep Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

CM No.6501 of 2017

For the reasons recorded, application is allowed. Document is taken on record.

CWP No.9711 of 2012

By this petition the petitioner has challenge the action of the respondents in not granting appointment to him even though he was selected.

The State has taken the stand that the University from which the petitioner had obtained his diploma was not recognized by the State of Haryana and also because he had obtained this diploma through Distance Education Mode. Today learned Assistant Advocate General has fairly

accepted that other persons who had similarly obtained diploma from the same University through Distance Education mode were issued appointment letters and thereafter similar writ petitions filed by them were rendered infructuous.

In the circumstances, the objections taken by the State Government with regard to the inadmissibility of the qualifications of the petitioner pale into insignificance.

The petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letter to the petitioner on or before 30.06.2017. It is further clarified that the petitioner would be entitled to claim salary from the respondents w.e.f. 01.07.2017.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE

19.05.2017
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No