

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22396 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2017

Sunita Rani

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioner had participated in the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test (P.S.T.E.T) conducted on 25.9.2016. The result thereof was declared in December, 2016 and the result status was "Result Late". Placed on record is a notice dated 6.1.2017, issued by the State Council of Educational Research & Training, Punjab (Annexure P-6) on the subject regarding securing information from the candidates with "Late Result". In such notice as far as the petitioner was concerned, the status was reflected as "Subject Mismatch".

Counsel would contend that petitioner thereafter has approached the respondent authorities in terms of Annexures P-8 and P-9 and has also submitted the requisite documents but till date her fate as regards the P.S.T.E.T Examination is not known.

State of Punjab has initiated a recruitment process for filling up various posts in the Master Cadre and in which one of the essential eligibility conditions is for a candidate to have qualified the P.S.T.E.T. The last date for submission of application form is 6.10.2017.

Counsel argues that in the light of such peculiar situation, the petitioner is not even in a position to apply for the post under Master Cadre.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Avinit Avasthi, learned A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. A complete copy of the writ paper book has been furnished to learned State counsel in Court today itself.

In view of the order that I intend to pass, there would be no requirement for seeking a written response to the writ petition.

The factum of the petitioner having appeared in the P.S.T.E.T conducted on 25.9.2016 is quite apparent from the result declared in which her status has been shown as “Result Late”. It appears that 517 candidates in all had been called upon to furnish certain information as per notice at Annexure P-6. In the case of petitioner there appears to be a “Subject Mismatch”. The petitioner, as per Annexures P-8 and P-9 has furnished certain requisite documents to the respondent authorities.

Be that as it may, the petitioner is certainly vested with a right to be informed as regards her fate of having qualified the P.S.T.E.T Examination or otherwise.

Accordingly, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to appear before respondent no.2 i.e. the Director, State Council of Educational Research & Training, Punjab at 11 A.M on 3.10.2017 along with all the supporting documents/certificates etc. Respondent no.2 would be obligated to examine the matter and to inform the petitioner immediately thereafter as regards her status of having

qualified the P.S.T.E.T Examination conducted on 25.9.2016 or otherwise.

A copy of this order be furnished to learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of Bench Secretary.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

29.09.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No