

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 22361 of 2017

Date of Decision: 29.09.2017

Rajender Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh Malik-I, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners' appeal against his dismissal having been partly accepted by the appellate authority, i.e. the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, vide the order dated 12.07.2016 (Annexure P-7), inasmuch as the order of dismissal has been changed to one of removal of service with retiral benefits, the petitioner is stated to have filed a revision petition before the Director General of Police, Haryana, on 29.03.2017.

Though a copy of what is stated to be a revision petition has been annexed as Annexure P-9 with the present petition, it is actually shown to be a representation by the the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been acquitted in the criminal proceedings against him by the trial Court.

Without making any comment at this stage on the merits of the case of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Police, Haryana, i.e. respondent no. 2, to take a decision on the aforesaid representation, treating it to be a revision petition if such a revision petition lies against the order of the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, dated

Nitin
29.09.2017 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

12.07.2016, and to dispose it of by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If, for any reason, the revision petition is not found to be maintainable, an order will be accordingly passed by the Director General of Police.

September 29, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No