

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.22328 of 2017.

Date of Decision: September 29, 2017

Ramesh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Madan Pal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is a resident of village Manana, Tehsil Samalkha, District Panipat. His grievance is against the letter dated 22.09.2017 issued by the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat whereby B.D.P.O., Samalkha has been directed to ensure that the land measuring 170 acres, which is stated to be owned by the Gram Panchayat, be not mutated in favour of the proprietors of the village and action be taken for the removal of encroachments made by the petitioner and/or other proprietors.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

We are sure that the impugned communication cannot be treated as an eviction order against the petitioner which can be passed only under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, as applicable to the State of Haryana. If the controversy has already been settled, as claimed by the petitioner, he may approach the appropriate forum including the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to recall/modify the communication dated 22.09.2017. Such a representation, if any filed by the

petitioner, shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, within a

period of two weeks from the date of its filing.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 29, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No