

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

128/3

Date of decision:28.09.2017

(1) CWP No.22282 of 2017

Shyam Lal	... Petitioner
versus	
UHBVNL and others	... Respondents

(2) CWP No.22283 of 2017

Nachhatar Singh and others	... Petitioners
versus	
UHBVNL and others	... Respondents

(3) CWP No.22284 of 2017

Mai Chand and another	... Petitioners
versus	
UHBVNL and others	... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In all these three petitions, the petitioners are seeking benefit of ACP scaled which they contend are due to them but have been denied.

The ACP scales not having been refused as yet, the petitioners have made representations to the respondents respectively, copies of which have been annexed with the petitions.

Consequently, if the aforesaid representations have not been decided so far by passing a speaking order, they shall be decided within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this

order.

If the case of the petitioners or any of them have already been rejected for valid reasons, given in any speaking order passed, then only a short order need be passed now, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

If, on the other hand, the petitioners are found eligible for the grant of ACP scales, as contended, the benefits would be released to them within a period of two months thereafter.

28.09.2017

vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No