

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25803 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 02.03.2017

Anand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the fact that the case has been called out twice.

The petitioner had made a prayer that the election petition that he has preferred be disposed of expeditiously within a time bound frame.

We had expected the petitioner to place on record the zimni orders so as to understand whether an undue delay has been caused by the authority. No such material has been brought before us and even the presence of the petitioner is erratic if the previous orders of the Court are seen.

Consequently, we dispose of the instant petition with an expectation from the authority that the matter would be decided expeditiously as it is always desirable so. We do not

CWP No.25803 of 2016 (O&M) -2-

deem it fit to accept the prayer that the proceedings be decided in a time bound frame.

Disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

02.03.2017
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No