

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.22169 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 27, 2017**

MAQBOOL

.....PETITIONER.

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh Dhindsa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the pensionary benefits, which have been withheld by the respondents allegedly without any justification with interest thereon till the actual payment(s).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent(s) to look into the grievances ventilated by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same, in the light of Service Rules, Regulations and notifications issued from time to time as well as judgments passed by this Court in CWP No. 3738 of 2012, titled as “***Leela v. State of Punjab and others***”, decided on 28.02.2012 (Annexure P-1) and CWP No. 13154 of 2013, titled as “***Rani v. State of Punjab and others***”, decided on 11.06.2013 (Annexure P-2), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 27, 2017,
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***