

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22150 of 2017

Date of Decision: 27th September, 2017

Vivek Mago Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Jagmohan Bansal, Advocate and
Mr.Kirpal Singh Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed against the order dated 29.8.2017, purported to have been passed in terms of Section 6 of the Explosives Act, 1884 [for short 'the Act'] read with Rule 118 of the Explosives Rules [for short 'the Rules'], suspending the license of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents did not give any notice before passing the impugned order of suspension of his license.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has very otherwise fairly conceded that the impugned order is amenable to appeal in terms of Rule 121 of the Rules. Therefore, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has to first exhaust his remedy of appeal before approaching this Court.

It is needless to mention that if the petitioner file the appeal with the application for stay then it shall be considered by the appellate authority expeditiously.

With these observations, the present petition is hereby disposed of.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

27th SEPTEMBER, 2017

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Vivek Pahwa
2017.09.28 15:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document