

241-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26638 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.11.2017

**SATBIR SINGH**

**... Petitioner**

**VS.**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM:    HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**

Present:    Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate,  
                 for Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate,  
                 for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,  
for respondent No. 5.

\*\*\*

**RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

A written request for adjournment is circulated on behalf of the  
arguing counsel for the petitioner-Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate.

From the zimni orders, I have found that learned arguing counsel  
for the petitioner has appeared before this Court only when the notice of  
motion was issued in this case. Thereafter, only proxy counsel are appearing  
on his behalf.

Therefore, I do not entertain the written request for adjournment,  
made by the learned arguing counsel for the petitioner, and in his absence,  
dismiss the present petition for non-prosecution.

**November 15, 2017**

Suresh Kumar

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking / reasoned    :                    Yes    /    No***

***Whether Reportable                    :                    Yes    /    No***