

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 26631 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.11.2017

BHANWAR SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No. 5.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

A written request for adjournment is circulated on behalf of the
arguing counsel for the petitioners-Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate.

From the zimni orders, I have found that learned arguing counsel
for the petitioners has appeared before this Court only when the notice of
motion was issued in this case. Thereafter, only proxy counsel are appearing
on his behalf.

Therefore, I do not entertain the written request for adjournment,
made by the learned arguing counsel for petitioners and in his absence,
dismiss the present petition for non-prosecution.

November 15, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : ***Yes / No***

Whether Reportable : ***Yes / No***