

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22060 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 27, 2017

DALWINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER.

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.R. Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay interest @ 12% per annum for delaying the payment of the retiral benefits i.e. gratuity, leave encashment and provident fund etc.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the legal notice dated 06.07.2017 (Annexure P-2) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 06.07.2017 (Annexure P-2) and to decide the same, particularly

keeping in view the observations made by Full Bench judgment of this Court in case captioned as “*R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318*”, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed through aforesaid legal notice, to release/disburse the same within a period of next one month.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 27, 2017,
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*