

CWP-2561-2016

Date of Decision : 08.02.2017

Vijender Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner is a practicing advocate at Jind. There is no doubt that he has been allotted a Chamber bearing No. 129 along with another advocate in Judicial Complex, Jind. The prayer made by the petitioner in the present writ petition is that a writ in the nature of *mandamus* be issued directing the respondent - State authorities to provide space for sitting of advocates and litigants in the newly constructed Tehsil building at Julana. Petitioner claims that Julana is at the distance of about 25 Km from Jind. The new Tehsil Complex was built in the year 2015 but no space for the advocates/legal practitioners or the litigants has been provided in the newly constructed Tehsil building.

In the reply, it has been submitted that as per the guidelines

for allotment of chambers framed by the Building Committee, Haryana, petitioner having already been allotted a chamber, he would not be entitled to chamber at any other place.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case.

In the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents, it has been submitted that there are more than 100 cases regarding the disputes of partition and revenue entries, appointment of Chowkidars but it has been submitted that on account of there being a non judicial complex at Julana and no place having been earmarked for chambers of advocates in the site at Tehsil Julana, the petitioner is not entitled to any relief. It has also been mentioned in the written statement that designs and drawings of chambers are sanctioned by the Hon'ble Building Committee in the Judicial Complexes.

Since no jurisdiction vest in the respondents to provide place for the advocates in Tehsil or Judicial Complexes, taking into consideration the requirement of a sitting place for advocates and litigants, in the interest of justice, I deem it appropriate that the circumstances of the present case warrant that a reference should be made to the Building Committee constituted by the Punjab and Haryana High Court for Haryana for construction of chambers and for providing sitting place for the advocates/litigants.

This petition is disposed of by referring the representation of the petitioner Annexure P-2 submitted before the revenue authorities, to the Building Committee for Haryana on the administrative side for

determining the feasibility and mode for providing construction of temporary shed for the advocates and litigants in Tehsil Complex Julana.

Copy of the order be sent to the Registrar General for placing the reference before the appropriate committee for consideration.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

08.02.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No