

112 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21987 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 27, 2017**

RAMAN KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the impugned order dated August 02, 2017 (P-17) vide which order dated April 19, 2017 (P-16) passed by Special Chief Secretary has been reviewed/modified as well as to quash the order September 08, 2017 (P-23) with further prayer to grant a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Corporation to place on record the order of superannuation of petitioner that thereafter to quash the same being against the factual and legal position as well as directing the respondents to repatriate the petitioner to his parent department in furtherance of order dated April 19, 2017 (P-16) and to grant all consequential benefits flowing from the same including extension in service in terms of Government instructions dated October 08, 2012 and modified on October 30, 2015 (P-22).

2. At the very outset of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to approach the respondent No.2 by filing a representation and a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same within a specified period.

3. Without commenting any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to petitioner to file a comprehensive representation before respondent No.2 within 15 days. On his doing so, respondent No.2 is directed to deal with and to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order that too after giving a patient hearing to the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of filing of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 27, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*