

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.125

Civil Writ Petition No. 21974 of 2017
DECIDED ON: September 26, 2017

MUNSHI RAM

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER TRANSMISSION SYSTEM,
HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LTD., SECTOR 18,
FARIDABAD AND OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the claim and fix the pay of the petitioner at par with respondent No.7, who is junior to him and belongs to reserve category in view of instructions dated 27.11.2006 (P-1) w.e.f. the due date with all other consequential benefits

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated May 25, 2017 (Annexure P-2) was moved to the Xen T/S Division, HVPNL, Sector 23, Faridabad but till date, no action has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is given to respondents No. 1 and 2, to decide the representation, within a stipulated period.

Civil Writ Petition No. 21974 of 2017

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.1 and 2, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated May 25, 2017 (Annexure P-2) and take a conscious decision, as per Rules and Instructions dated November 27, 2006 (Annexure P-1), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 26, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**