

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.3802 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: December 04, 2017

Suresh Kumar and others ...Petitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioners have challenged the action of respondent Nigam, whereby a transmission line was sought to be laid in the land of the petitioners. An opportunity of hearing was also afforded to the petitioners by the District Magistrate. He, however, authorized execution of transmission line in the land of the petitioners from Tower Location No.176 to 177 as per the approved route plan. Petitioners approached Regulatory Commission for the relief prayed for. However, he remained unsuccessful. At the time of hearing of the case today, it has been submitted on behalf of respondent Nigam that the entire work has been executed and transmission lines have been laid and energized. According counsel for the Nigam, petitioners are at liberty to seek compensation in terms of provisions of Indian Telegraph Act, 1885. He further submits that in case petitioners seek such a remedy, respondent Nigam shall not oppose it on the ground that same is barred by limitation.

In view of above, no further direction is required.

Disposed of. Petitioners shall be at liberty to seek remedy as per law.

December 04, 2017
'Rajpal'

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No