

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-3798 of 2013

Decided on : 28.11.2017

Naresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak and another

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr.S.M.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Rajesh Gaur, Addl.AG, Haryana

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has challenged the award dated 3.4.2012 (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has rendered service from November, 1989 to July 31, 2002 as Baildar. He issued a demand notice dated 28.12.2004. Labour Court, Rohtak erred in considering fact that the relevant material was not produced by the respondent department.

Perusal of the cross-examination of WW2 Raj Singh Dahiya who has also cited as witness on behalf of the management and as per MW1/A, it is evident that nothing has come on record to show that the petitioner has worked during the period of 2001 to 2002. Therefore, there is no infirmity in the award passed by the Labour Court. Accordingly, petitioner has not made out case so as interfere with the award.

Hence, this petition stands dismissed.

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

28.11.2017

sd

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No