

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2194 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 07, 2017**

Lachhmi Bai

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and anothers

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that her husband retired from service on 30.04.2015 and died on 15.07.2015. Though, the amount of DCRG has been paid but the other retiral benefits like leave encashment, G.P. Funds, Ex-gratia, GIS etc. have not been disbursed to her. The petitioner has already made representations dated 19.04.2016 (Annexure P-3) and dated 04.11.2016 (Annexure P-4).

The short prayer of the petitioner, at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the representations of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

In view of the matter, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representations of the petitioner dated dated 19.04.2016 (Annexure P-3) and dated 04.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) within

two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if it is found that some retiral benefits are due to the petitioner, the same be released forthwith along with interest, as per Government Instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 07, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No