

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25516-2016

Date of Decision: December 19, 2017

Amrik Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Varinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Sherry K.Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.Anu Pal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.Jatinder Khokha, Advocate for
Mr.R.S.Pandher, Advocate for respondent Nos.8 to 10.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

Learned State counsel on the basis of written instructions mentioned in the affidavit of DDPO Fatehgarh Sahib states and it is so admitted by learned counsel for the other parties also that the petitioner as well as the private respondents have been evicted from the Gram Panchayat land.

In this view of the matter, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

December 19, 2017

meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |