IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.21889 of 2017.
Date of Decision: September 26, 2017

Sham Lal .....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

***

Present: Mr.V.B.Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Vishal Garg, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr.Vishal Garg, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice.

Let three copies of the writ petition be supplied to him during the course of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from the respondents at this stage.

The petitioner is a resident of village Randoli, Tehsil Indri, District Karnal. His grievance in the instant writ petition is that consolidation proceedings in relation to his village are pending since April, 2011 and are not being finalized. It is pointed-out that in some related contempt proceedings, State Government produced notification dated 01.04.2011 whereby it was decided to have fresh settlement of rights of the petitioner's village under Section 32 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887.

Mohinder Kumar Kamboj
2017.10.07 11:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

This Court disposed of those contempt proceedings vide order dated 05.04.2011 observing that consolidation proceedings initiated vide above-stated notification be concluded within two years. The petitioner alleges that those proceedings are still pending and there appears to be no intentment to conclude the same in a time bound manner.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of relief sought in this writ petition, the same is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to expedite the ongoing consolidation proceedings and make an endeavour to conclude the same within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 26, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Mohinder Kumar Kamboj
2017.10.07 11:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh