

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

C.W.P No.21834 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 25.09.2017

Dashmesh Transport Company Regd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the memo of parties the respondent No.3 has been inadvertently wrongly mentioned as 'The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur' whereas it should be 'The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda'. He is allowed to make this correction in the memo of parties.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief claimed in this petition the petitioner has also moved representations (Annexure P-5 and Annexure P-6) and at this stage he would be satisfied if the same is decided by the respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representations by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

25.09.2017
pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No