

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21798 of 2017

Date of Decision:25.09.2017

Parveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

Zonal Manager, Bank of Baroda and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Sunil Kumar Tondon, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has challenged the validity of the transfer order dated 1.10.2016 (Annexure P-1) and further initiation of inquiry dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-6).

The petitioner has obeyed the order of transfer dated 1.10.2016 (Annexure P-1) without there being any protest. Further order of transfer dated 1.10.2016 is being challenged after almost lapse of nearly one year. Therefore, no interference is called for in respect of order of transfer dated 1.10.2016 since it has spent its force. Further insofar as initiation of inquiry is concerned, if the the same is ordered by an incompetent authority or there is any mala fide action, in that event, Court can interfere. Thus, the petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with the initiation of inquiry dated 20.1.2017.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner insisted for completion of inquiry with reference to his pleading against the show cause notice.

Concerned respondent/disciplinary authority is hereby directed

to expedite the inquiry and complete within a period of six months in passing final order.

25.09.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No