

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21761-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-22.09.2017.

Poonam Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the validity of Annexure P-2 dated 11.9.2017 by which she has been shifted from one office to another office.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in a new posting she has to attend office work at various stations with reference to discharge of petitioner's duties.

Perusal of the posting order dated 11.9.2017, no hardship has been caused to the petitioner since it is a posting from one office to another office in the same city. Therefore, the petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with Annexure P-2 dated 11.9.2017.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

Dismissal of this petition would not come in the way of deciding the petitioner's grievance by the competent authority.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

September 22, 2017.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.