

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21738 of 2017
Date of decision: 04.10.2017

Amar Nath and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. LM Gulati, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 to 6, and Mr. DK Singal, Advocate on behalf of respondent No.2, accept notice. Copies furnished.

For respondents No.7 & 8 are alleged to be the proforma party, their service is dispensed with.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement/counter-affidavit(s) is indeed necessary on behalf of the respondents, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although the land holding of the petitioners was acquired pursuant to a notification dated 05.02.2014, issued under Section 3-A of the National Highways Act, 1956, but they have not been disbursed the compensation therefor. He submits that

even the legal notice dated 21.09.2016 (Annexure P5) served upon the respondents has failed to evoke any response.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the grievance of the petitioners as also their legal notice dated 21.09.2016 (Annexure P5) shall be considered and decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from today and appropriate order shall be passed in this regard.

That being so, the petition is disposed of in the above terms. Needless to assert that in the event the petitioners are not found entitled to the compensation being claimed, the competent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

October 4, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO