

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21703 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 22, 2017**

RAJ PAL SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS.

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to step up the pay of the petitioners at par to their junior Krishan Kumar w.e.f. 27.07.2006 i.e. the date of anomaly, from where junior has been given higher pay in terms of the revised pay Rules, 2008 and in accordance with judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 11254 of 2010 (Annexure P-7) with all consequential benefits i.e. arrears of pay along with interest etc.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the legal notice dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Director Secondary Education Haryana, Siksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula to look into the grievances ventilated by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same, in view of revised pay Rules, 2008 as well as while considering the judgment passed in ***CWP No. 11254 of 2010 (O&M)***, titled as ***“Neelam Rani d/o Raj Roop v. State of Haryana and others”*** decided on 15.05.2013 (Annexure P-7), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2017,
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***