

CWP No. 21657 of 2017

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 22, 2017

MEWA SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of petitioner for 2nd ACP in the light of judgment Annexure P-4.

2. At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No. 10051 of 2013, titled as *Prithvi Singh vs. State of Haryana & others*; decided on April 27, 2016. He further submits that he has also made a representation dated July 31, 2017 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents but till date no action has been taken. Petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-3) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated July 31, 2017 (Annexure P-3) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions issued by the government from time to time and also keeping in view the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 10051 of 2013, titled as **Prithvi Singh vs. State of Haryana & others;** decided on April 27, 2016 (Annexure P-4), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*