

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CWP No.21615 of 2017

Date of decision: 22.09.2017

Amit Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.B.D.Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks consideration and decision of his legal notice dated 12.06.2017 (Annexure P-4) on the ground that juniors have been promoted inspite of the fact that the seniority list is in favour of the petitioner (Annexure P-2). It is submitted that as per the said list, petitioner figures at Sr.No.2, having joined as Clerk on 03.04.1997 and the appointment letter is dated 01.04.1997 (Annexure P-1). It is submitted that persons mentioned at Sr.Nos.25, 26, 27 and 31 were appointed in 2010 and have been promoted vide order dated 15.12.2015 (Annexure P-3) as Senior Accounts Clerk, by respondent No.3. Petitioner has, accordingly, represented to the respondents on 12.06.2017 (Annexure P-4) for the grant of similar relief.

Counsel, accordingly, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if the representation dated 12.06.2017 (Annexure P-4) is decided within a time-bound frame.

Keeping in view the above, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by calling upon the respondents to file reply, as it would only delay the proceedings.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner, directions are issued to respondent No.3, to take a decision on the legal notice dated 12.06.2017 (Annexure P-4), within a period of 2 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case an adverse order is to be passed, same should contain reasons and be communicated to the petitioner.

22.09.2017

Sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE