

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2159 of 2017
Date of Decision : 07.02.2017**

Indus Towers Limited

...Petitioner

versus

Collector, Palwal and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present : Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 03.10.2016 (Annexure P-8) passed by the Collector, whereby in appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 01.04.2016 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Assistant Collector Ist Grade, in an application for grant of interim stay filed by respondents No.3 and 4, status-quo was directed to be maintained.

The petitioner had entered into an agreement for lease of the space for erection of mobile tower with respondents No.5 and 6. The issue raised by respondents No.3 and 4 in the application filed by them before the Assistant Collector Ist Grade, was that respondents No.5 and 6 were not owners of the land and in fact it belong to Gram Panchayat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he

may be permitted to withdraw the present petition, however, the tower being in process of erection, the company will suffer loss if the matter is not decided expeditiously, prayed that direction be issued to the Assistant Collector Ist Grade to dispose of the application within some time bound manner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, however, respondent No.2-Assistant Collector Ist Grade, Hathin, District Palwal, is directed to dispose of the application filed by respondents No.3 and 4, pending before him, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 07, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned YES/NO

Whether reportable YES/NO