

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25123 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.01.2017

Gurpal Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein is aggrieved against inaction of the respondents in deciding her objections raised regarding certain promotions to be made of Anganwari Workers to the post of Supervisors.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been working as an Anganwari Worker since 1995, without any complaint whatsoever. However, in the year 2013, she went abroad after seeking necessary sanction and returned on 09.12.2013. On her return, she found that her services had been terminated. A representation was filed in that regard and after due consideration, termination orders were set aside. An advertisement dated 08.07.2013 came to be issued, whereby, it had been decided to promote Anganwari Workers on the post of Supervisors, basically on the length of service. Thereafter, a public notice was issued inviting objections to the merit list that was prepared. The petitioner herein, who had applied for the post of Supervisor, did not find her name reflected

in the list prepared, therefore, submitted her objections to the department for consideration. The said objections are pending consideration and no orders have been passed thereon. It is in these circumstances, the instant petition has been filed.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner limits his claim in this writ petition to the inaction of the respondents, in not deciding the claim of the petitioner and prays that a direction be issued to the respondents concerned to decide the objections raised, as expeditiously as possible.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and in view of the limited prayer made, I dispose of the writ petition by directing respondent No.2 to decide the objections of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. The petitioner is directed to file her fresh objections along with certified copy of this order within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Respondent No.2 herein is directed to dispose of the said objections preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said objections along with certified copy of the order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 16, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No