

CWP No. 21542 of 2017

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 21, 2017

RAMESH KUMAR KALRA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVN AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the special local outdoor duty allowances of ₹800/- p.m w.e.f. July 01, 2008 to August 31, 2015 as per instruction dated July 06, 2006 (Annexure P-2) as well as modified instruction dated May 15, 2017 (Annexure P-6) along-with arrears with 18% interest per annum from the date of accrual till its actual realization.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though a legal notice dated August 07, 2017 (Annexure P-7) was served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply nor any conscious decision has been taken by the authorities. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-7) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated August 07, 2017 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law and instructions issued by Government from time to time, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by him, to make the payment thereof, within a period next one month. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 21, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***