

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.21450 of 2017
Date of Decision:20.09.2017**

Nirmal Rani
Vs.
State of Haryana and others**Petitioner**
.....**Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that along with appeal, he had also filed application for stay but by way of impugned order dated 12.09.2017, Commissioner, Hisar Division has not taken any kind of decision on the application of stay rather observed that “no other issue was pressed upon”. It is submitted that the application was otherwise pressed. However, it is submitted that the application of stay was pressed, therefore, the impugned order deserves to be reviewed. In such circumstance, the present petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a review application to the extent of the order passed by the Commissioner where he has recorded that “no other issue was pressed upon” in order to press the application for stay. With these observations, the present petition is hereby disposed of.

In case any such review application is filed within a period of seven days, the Commissioner shall decide the same within a period of seven days thereafter.

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

20.09.2017

renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No