

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21393-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.10.2017**

Vikramjit

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. K.G. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

As per pleadings on record, petitioner had applied for the post of Constable against the 2% quota reserved for Wards of Police Personnel under Punjab Police.

Counsel contends that the petitioner was duly selected against such quota, which would be apparent from communication dated 22.08.2015 written by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot and addressed to Director General of Police, Punjab (Annexure P-1).

It is contended that appointment letter to the petitioner in pursuance to his selection on the post of Constable was not issued as there was an interim order passed by this Court in matters wherein quota for Wards of Police Personnel was a subject matter of challenge. Counsel urges that quota of 2% for Wards of Police Personnel has ultimately been upheld by this Court and as such, there would be no justifiable basis to deny to the petitioner appointment to the post in question. A reference is also made to a Circular dated 30.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) issued by the office of Director

General of Police, Punjab on the subject of issue of appointment letter against 2% vacancies reserved for police personnels and in the memo, it has been specifically recited that orders have been passed by the High Court as regards vacation of the interim orders of the 2% quota for Wards of Police Personnels.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner and having perused the pleadings on record and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the Director General of Police, Punjab/competent authority to take a final decision of the legal notice dated 22.07.2017 (Annexure P-3) which is stated to have already been served expeditiously and in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

30.10.2017

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
(ii) Whether Reportable?	No