

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21321 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 19, 2017

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is working as a Conductor in the Haryana Roadways, having been transferred out of the Gurugram depot to the Hissar depot, vide the order dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-1), has again been re-transferred to Gurugram by cancelling the earlier order (Annexure P-1), vide the impugned order dated 10.08.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner herein is covered by paragraph 10 of the judgment of this Court passed in a bunch of writ petitions, the lead case being CWP No.17899 of 2017, decided on 1.9.2017.

He further submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as those referred to as the “2nd set of petitioners” in the aforesaid order of this Court, the impugned transfer orders of all these petitioners having been passed on account of the stay orders passed by this Court in other petitions

filed by other employees at an earlier point of time this year.

Consequently, upon the petitioner reporting to the place that he has been now transferred to, vide the order impugned in this petition, his case would be decided as directed by this Court in the aforesaid order passed in CWP No.17899 of 2017 and other connected petitions.

Disposed of.

September 19, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	no