

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

235

**CWP No.26553 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.02.2017**

Anil Baghel

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the selection of the respondent No.6 as Constable in the Police Department of District Palwal while ignoring him.

The only argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is a Graduate while the respondent No.6 had only passed 10+2 yet he has been given 9 ½ marks in the interview while the petitioner has been given only 6 marks. As per him this is *ex-facie* arbitrary.

In my opinion, the argument can not be accepted only on this bald averment that since the petitioner has higher educational qualification therefore, he is deemed to have performed better than the respondent No.6 in the interview.

No other material has been placed on record.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE

13.02.2017
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No