

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.135

Civil Writ Petition No.21251 of 2017
DECIDED ON: September 18, 2017

DROPATI DEVI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of fixed medical allowance as well as fixed LTC to the petitioner in view of instructions issued by the Government from time to time.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice was issued to the respondents on July 24, 2017 (P-3) but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned authorities.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated July 24, 2017 (P-3) within some specified period.

Civil Writ Petition No.21251 of 2017

4. Taking into consideration the aforesaid aspects of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fazilka, District Fazilka to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her Legal Notice dated July 24, 2017 (P-3) and pass a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 18, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**