

CWP No.25722 of 2015

Date of decision: July 10, 2017

...Petitioner

Versus

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Rachna Rudra, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.K. Aurora, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No.3 to decide the departmental enquiry at the earliest, as due to the non-conclusion thereof, the retiral benefit i.e. gratuity as due to petitioner has been withheld and is not being released.

At the very outset of the arguments, it is submitted by learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Parminder Singh, Senior Assistant, Local Government Department, Chandigarh, the departmental inquiry has since been concluded vide inquiry report dated 11th April, 2017 whereby the petitioner has since been exonerated of all the charges. It has further been submitted by learned State counsel that the necessary retiral benefits have also been released and disbursed to the petitioner.

In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, the instant petition has rendered infructuous and it stand disposed of accordingly.

However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the Court, he can approach this Court again for the redressal of the grievances.

July 10, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No