

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

**CWP No. 21190 of 2017
Date of decision: 18.09.2017**

Rohtash Singh

...petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dilbagh Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the adverse remarks in his ACR for the period 01.04.2005 to 31.08.2005, firstly, were conveyed to him only vide the communication Annexure P-3 dated 21.02.2015, and in any case, the promotion of his juniors having been made vide the order Annexure P-4, dated 06.11.2015, more than 10 years had elapsed since the adverse remarks were incorporated in the petitioner's ACR, with the instructions of the Haryana Government dated 17.05.1989 (Annexure P-6), stating that record for the past 10 years is to be seen for promotion.

In respect of his grievance the petitioner is stated to have got a legal notice issued to respondent No. 2, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-5 with the petition.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid representation, keeping in view the instructions of the Haryana Government pertaining to criteria for promotion, by passing a speaking order within a

period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order,
to be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

September 18, 2017

Poonam (II)

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No