

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-264-2014

Date of Decision : 09.01.2017

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

Chairman State Transport Authority, Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Additional A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has informed that the copy of Annexure P-23 has not been received by the Transport Commissioner, Haryana, however, an assurance has been given that the claim put forth in Annexures P-2 and P-3 can be considered in case, said request is again made by the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the said circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction that this writ petition will be considered as the representation of the petitioner and respondent-Transport Commissioner, Haryana, will decide the representation

(Annexures P-2 and P-3) within a period of two months from today by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 09, 2017
apurva

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No